Societat Catalana d'Hematologia i Hemoteràpia

Neoplàsies mieloproliferatives cròniques cromosoma Filadelfia negatives

Divendres, 6 de juny de 2014 Auditori de l'Acadèmia, Barcelona



Thrombosis: a major complications in Ph Neg Myeloproliferative Neoplasms

**Tiziano BARBUI** 

Hematology and Research Foundation Ospedale Papa Giovanni XXIII Bergamo, Italy

## The most frequent sites of Thrombosis in MPNs

Arterial thrombosis (acute myocardial infarction, cerebral and peripheral arterial occlusion)

Deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. Splanchnic and cerebral vein thromboses

Microcirculatory disturbances including erythromelagia and miscarriages

## Type of thrombosis in ECLAP study (N=1638)

FATAL (n=54)

NON FATAL (n=187)



# ET-BVF study :1063 pts

### **Events During Follow-up**

Low-risk (n=517) High risk (n=546) (left untreated) (100% treated)

Rate: 1.5 (%/patients/year) 2.0 (%/patients/year)



Carobbio et al Blood 2008, Blood 2009; Barbui JCO2011)



Figure 1 Cumulative incidence of fatal and nonfatal thrombotic events versus deaths from other causes (competing risk analysis) in 707 patients with primary myelofibrosis



Barbui, T. et al. Blood 2010;115:778-782

Copyright ©2010 American Society of Hematology. Copyright restrictions may apply.

#### RECURRENT THROMBOSIS IN POLYCYTHEMIA VERA AND ESSENTIAL THROMBOCYTHEMIA



De Stefano V, Haematologica 2008

#### Risk factors for <u>overall recurrent thrombosis</u> according to the baseline characteristics (multivariable model)



De Stefano V, Haematologica 2008

#### Risk factors for recurrent thrombosis of patients with <u>first</u> <u>arterial thrombosis</u> according to the baseline characteristics (multivariable model)



De Stefano V, Haematologica 2008

Risk factors for recurrent thrombosis of patients with <u>first</u> <u>venous thrombosis</u> according to the baseline characteristics (multivariable model)



## **Rare Venous Thrombosis in MPN**

#### Cerebral vein thrombosis



**Responsible** for less than 1% of all strokes, most often affects **young adults and children**, annual incidence rates include 4 permillion of the population, 7 per million children and about 12 per million deliveris

**Obstruction** of cerebral veins causes cerebral oedema and venous infarction, while occlusion of venous sinuses causes intracranial hypertension. **Symptoms** are recent unusual headache, stroke-like symptoms . The most sensitive **diagnostic test** is MRI ,or high resolution computed tomography (CT) as an initial examination is useful but it can be normal initially.

## **TIMING OF CVT OCCURRENCE**

	CVT cases
	N= 48
Before diagnosis of MPN	8 (17)
At diagnosis	22 (46)
After diagnosis of MPN	18 (38)

Martinelli et al, submitted

## **EVENTS DURING FOLLOW-UP**

Data during follow-up	CVT cases	MPN VTE	р
Median follow-up, years (range)	6.09 (0-34)	10.3 (0-31)	0.019
Cytoreductive treatment, n (%)	36 (75)	63 (72)	0.745
Long-term antithrombotic treatment, n (%)	45 (94)	73 (84)	0.099
Recurrent thrombosis, n (%)	20 (42)	22 (25)	0.049
Venous thrombosis	9 (19)	11 (13)	
Splanchnic vein thrombosis	6 (13)	6 (7)	
Arterial thrombosis	4 (8)	5 (6)	
Cerebral vein thrombosis	1 (2)	-	
Hematological evolutions, n (%)	3 (6)	8 (9)	0.404
PPV-MF	-	1 (1)	
PET-MF	3 (6)	7 (8)	
Acute Leukemia	-	-	
Deaths, n (%)	4 (8)	17 (20)	0.135

Martinelli et al, submitted

### **THROMBOSIS-FREE SURVIVAL**



Martinelli et al, submitted

### **RECURRENT THROMBOSIS FREE SURVIVAL**

Multivariate analysis after stepwise backward selection procedure:

	HR (95% CI)	p-value
CVT vs VTE cases	1.79 (1.01-3.33)	0.044
Unprovoked event	2.04 (1.07-3.89)	0.031

Martinelli et al, submitted

# **Rare Thrombosis in MPN**



Branch Retinal Vein Occlusion Central Retinal Vein Occlusion

Over the age of 40 years the annual incidence is 16/1000 of which 75% are branch retinal vein occlusion and 25% central retinal vein occlusion . Over 64 years 5/1000/year. Prevalence of JAK2 V617F 1.1%

**Typical presentation** is with acute, painless visual loss in one eye. The diagnosis can usually be made by clinical examination alone.

**Risk factors** are hypertension, diabetis, no thrombophilia, rare MPN

**Treatment** with LMWH for 1–6 months (2B). Routine therapy with warfarin or anti-platelet agents is not recommended (2C).

## **Rare Thrombosis in MPN:** Thrombotic occlusion of the placental circulation



In MPN pregnancies **the prothrombotic state may affect the remodeling of maternal spiral arteries** essential for adequate blood volume delivery to the placenta.

If remodeling of the maternal spiral arteries is impaired by **microthrombi leading to impaired blood delivery, the resultant placental hypoperfusion** may form the basis of the abnormal fetal-maternal interaction and increase the risk of preeclampsia and growth restriction in MPN pregnancies.

### **Rare Thrombosis in MPN:** Splanchnic vein thrombosis



## **SVT-DIFFICULTIES TO MEET WHO CRITERIA FOR MPN**

- hemodilution
- occult bleeding
- hypersplenism related to portal hypertension
- possible elevation of Epo in BCS (liver ischemia)

#### **NEW DRUGS?**

#### "Resolution of esophageal varices in myelofibrosis during Ruxolitinib therapy : a case report"

Koschmieder, N Engl J Med. 2012



Endoscopy showed large (>5 mm) esophageal varices (arrowheads) before the initiation of ruxolitinib therapy

(Panel A) and smaller (<3 mm) varices (arrowheads) 1 year after the initiation of ruxolitinib therapy (Panel B).

- Gastrointestinal bleeding 13 days after starting of Ruxolitinib due to esophageal varices, with one reoccurrence after 28 days.
- Treated with cyanoacrylate injections and ligations.
- Spleen reduction if 42%.
- No other episodes of bleeding or needs of banding in the subsequent two years of therapy with Ruxolitinib

# Pathogenesis of Thrombosis in MPN

Activation of the vascular endothelium

- Procoagulant changes in plasma proteins
- Quantitative and qualitative abnormalities of blood cells

## **Pathogenesis of Thrombophilia in MPN**











# **Primary EndPoint**

	HCT <45% N=182 (49.9)	HCT 45-50% N=183 (50.1)	Total N=365	HR (95%CI)	Ρ
<b>Primary Endpoint (n, %)</b> (CV death, MI, stroke, PAT, DVT, PE, TIA and abdominal thrombosis)	5* (2.8)	18* (9.8)	23 (6.3)	3.91 (1.45- 10.53)	0.005
IR %person/year	1.1	4.4	2.7		
Total CV events (n, %)	8 (4.4)	20 (10.9)	28 (7.7)	2.69	0.012
(Primary plus superficial vein thrombosis)				(1.19-	
				6.12)	
IR %person/year	1.9	5.0	3.4		

\* 0 deaths in HCT<45%; 4 deaths in HCT 45-50%



## **CV Death and Major Thrombosis**



**NEJM 2013** 

### **CYTO-PV Vs ECLAP: Event during FUP**

(censored events at Cyto-PV maximum time Fup)

	CYTO-PV			.AP: nts at 3.5 Years
	N (%)	IR (100 person/yrs)	N (%)	IR (100 person/yrs)
<b>Primary Endpoint</b> (CV death, MI, stroke, PAT, DVT, PE, TIA and abdominal thrombosis)	14 (3.8)	2.4	165 (10.1)	4.2
Total CV events (Primary Endpoint plus superficial thrombosis)	19 (5.2)	3.2	215 (13.1)	5.6

#### CYTO-PV Vs ECLAP: distribution of patient at inclusion by RISK (age and previous thrombosis)

### Total CV events in follow-up by risk group

(CV death, MI, stroke, PAT, DVT, PE, TIA, abdominal and superficial thrombosis)

	No Previous Thrombosis		Previous <sup>-</sup>	Thrombosis	Overall
	Age <65	Age ≥65	Age <65	Age ≥65	
CYTO-PV IR per 100 person/yrs	2.0	4.4	3.8	2.9	3.2
ECLAP IR per 100 person/yrs	2.5	4.9	5.0	10.9	5.5



## PLATELET COUNT DURING THE STUDY





## WHITE-CELL COUNT DURING THE STUDY

**B** White-Cell Count



### Time-dependent multivariable analysis on the risk of major thrombosis in CYTO-PV study (N = 365)

WBC (109/L)	Events/Pts (%)	HR (95% CI)	P-Value
< 6.0	2/54 (3.7)	1	(reference)
6.0-12	15/237 (6.3)	2.39 (0.5-10.8)	0.26
>12	11/74 ( 14.9)	4.89 (1.1-22.7)	0.04

### **Time-dependent multivariate analysis** on the relative risk of major thrombosis among men and women with Polycythemia Vera (N = 1,638)\*

		Hazard ratio (95% CI), P-value
White blood cell count (x10 <sup>9</sup> /l)	≤ 10 (N=990)	1 (Reference)
	10.1-15 (N=365)	1.06 (0.7-1.6), 0.8
	> 15 (N=241)	1.71 (1.1-2.6), 0.02

\*Model adjusted for: age, gender, time from PV diagnosis to recruitment, thrombotic or hemorrhagic events prior to recruitment, smoking, history of diabetes, hypertension, claudicatio intermittens, erythromelalgia, splenomegaly, circulating immature cells, leukocyte count, total blood cholesterol, phlebotomy use, interferon use, hydroxyurea use, antiplatelets use, anticoagulants use, <sup>32</sup>P use, busulfan use, chlorambucil use, and pipobroman use

Landolfi et al., Blood 2006

## **Prognostic studies may change over time**

diagnosis (PVSG,WHO-2008,WHO 2014)
biomarkers

# Risk Factors for Thrombosis in ECLAP-study

(PVSG-PV diagnosis)



Leukemia (2014), 1–7 © 2014 Macmillan Publishers Limited All rights reserved 0887-6924/14

www.nature.com/leu

#### REVIEW

An overview on *CALR* and *CSF3R* mutations and a proposal for revision of WHO diagnostic criteria for myeloproliferative neoplasms

A Tefferi<sup>1</sup>, J Thiele<sup>2</sup>, AM Vannucchi<sup>3</sup> and T Barbui<sup>4</sup>

**Proposal:** Hb/Hct values should be lowered in JAK2 mutated patients for the diagnosis of PV



## Among 397 patients JAK2 mutated and with bone marrow morphology consistent with WHO-PV

> 257 (65%) met the full WHO-2008 criteria.

140 (35%) were classified and treated as PV, although they did not meet the hemoglobin level threshold that is required for the diagnosis of WHOdefined PV. These patients were operationally defined as «masked PV».

## Discriminating between ET and PV in JAK2V617F

patients ROC curves of hemoglobin and hematocrit



Ho: area(hemoglobin) = area(hematocrit)

chi2(1) = 0.57 Prob>chi2 = 0.4499

<sup>35</sup> Barbui T et al, AJH 2014

#### Thrombosis-free survival in masked and overt PV



Barbui et al, AJH 89:52-54,2013

## Multivariate analysis on the relative risk of major thrombosis among patients with masked (n=66) and overt (n=97) PV

Cox model testing mPV versus overt PV	HR (95% CI)	P-value
(0) Unadjusted	2.69 (1.15-3.14)	0.009
Sequentially adjusted		
(1) + Age, sex	2.03 (1.05-3.91)	0.035
(2) + Previous thrombosis	2.16 (1.12-4.15)	0.021
(3) + Cardiovascular risk factors	2.02 (1.03-3.94)	0.040
(4) + Treatments*	1.71 (0.88-3.64)	0.203

Model 0: Unadjusted model. Reference category: overt PV.

Model 1: age (2 categories), sex.

Model 2: model 1 plus thrombotic events at/or prior to diagnosis (yes/no).

Model 3: model 2 plus cardiovascular risk factors (yes/no).

Model 4: model 3 plus phlebotomy use (yes/no), cytoreductive therapy (yes/no), aspirin (yes/no).

#### 2014 proposed revision for World Health Organization (WHO) Diagnostic Criteria for Polycythemia Vera

		Polycythemia Vera (PV)*
Major	1	Hemoglobin
Criteria		>16.5 g/dL (men)
		>16 g/dL (women
		<b>or</b> Hematocrit
		>49% (men)
		>48% (women)
	2	BM findings consistent with WHO
		criteria
	3	Presence of JAK2 mutation
Minor	1	Subnormal serum erythropoietin level
criteria		

\*PV diagnosis requires meeting either all three major criteria or the first two major criteria and one minor criterion.

## **Prognostic studies may change over time**

diagnosis (PVSG,WHO-2008,WHO 2014)
biomarkers (JAK-2 allele burden and CALR in ET)

### THROMBOSIS in WHO-ET (inception cohort) (n= 891)

Risk factor	HR	scores
Age > 60	1.50	1
CV risk factors	1.56	1
Previous thrombosis	1.93	2
JAK2 V617F	2.04	2

\* Multivariate model adjusted for: sex, hemoglobin ,leukocyte and platelet counts, Hydroxyurea and aspirin use.

Score: 0	low-risk
Score: 1-2	intermediate risk
Score => 3	high risk

Barbui et al, J Clin Oncol. 2011 Aug 10;29(23):3179-84; Barbui et al,Blood 2012. Carobbio et al, Blood. 2011 Jun 2;117(22):5857-9. Epub 2011 Apr 13.



Barbui et al, Blood 2012

### Distribution of thrombotic rates (n= 1220 patients) according to standard risk factors and the new IPSETthrombosis model

IPSET- thrombosis Standard Risk factors	LOW	INTERMEDIATE	HIGH	TOTAL
LOW	281	277	32	590
	48%	47%	5%	100%
	0.59 %pts-yr	1.55 %pts-yr	1.77 %pts-yr	0.95 %pts-yr
HIGH	193	194	243	630
	31%	31%	39%	100%
	1.27 %pts-yr	2.67 %pts-yr	3.71 %pts-yr	2.86 %pts-yr
TOTAL	474	471	275	1220
	39%	39%	23%	100%
	1.03 %pts-yr	2.35 %pts-yr	3.56 %pts-yr	1.77 %pts-yr

Age > 60 point 1 ; CV risk factors point 1; Previous thrombosis:point 2; JAK2 V617F point 2





2014 123: 1552-1555 doi:10.1182/blood-2013-11-538983 originally published online December 26, 2013

### Impact of calreticulin mutations on clinical and hematological phenotype and outcome in essential thrombocythemia

Giada Rotunno, Carmela Mannarelli, Paola Guglielmelli, Annalisa Pacilli, Alessandro Pancrazzi, Lisa Pieri, Tiziana Fanelli, Alberto Bosi and Alessandro M. Vannucchi

Overall, these data indicate that CALR patients are less prone to thrombotic events compared with JAK21 and MPL1; of note, their risk was similar to patients lacking any mutations.



## 6th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MYELOPROLIFERATIVE NEOPLASMS

Estoril, Portugal October 23-25, 2014

Chairs: T. Barbui, A.R. Green, R. Levine, H.L. Pahl, R. Skoda, W. Vainchenker, A. Vannucchi

- Topics: The genomics and genetics of MPNs
  - Molecular and cellular pathogenesis of MPNs
  - Diagnosis, classification and molecular monitoring
  - Jak2 Inhibitors and novel therapies in MPN

#### To register and for further information: www.esh.org